DATE: 11-14-2017

JFK Assassination System Identification Form

Date:

4/13/201

Agency Information

AGENCY: FBI

RECORD NUMBER:

124-10266-10151

RECORD SERIES:

HQ

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

CR 67-798-3150

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

 \cdot CIT

FROM:

A LOYAL, CONCERNED DL FBI EMPLOYEE

TO:

JOHNSON, LYNDON B.

TITLE:

DATE:

06/10/1965

PAGES:

9

SUBJECTS:

JFK, ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT, BUREAU OFFICIALS

DOCUMENT TYPE:

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT

CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

RESTRICTIONS:

3

CURRENT STATUS:

Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW:

05/03/1995

OPENING CRITERIA:

INDEFINITE

COMMENTS:

INC MEMO

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 DATE: 11-14-2017 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Memorandum6-17-65 TO MR. MOHR DATE: Sullivan Trotter Tele, Room W. S. TAVEL Holmes SUBJECT: ANONYMOUS LETTER DATED 6-10-65 TO KOUTE IN ENVELOPE PRESIDENT JOHNSON POSTMARKED FORT WORTH, TEXAS, CONTAINING ALLEGATIONS AGAINST INSPECTOR H. L. EDWARDS AND OTHER BUREAU PERSONNEL Anonymous letter writer, signing himself "A loyal, concerned Ballas FBI employee," by letter to President Johnson dated 6-10-65, postmarked Fort Worth, Texas, makes several allegations against Bureau personnel. Director stated, "Look into this promptly. I suspect someone in the Bureau here may be the author or is furnishing information to such ex-Agents as Turner or Levine. The postmark Ft. Worth means nothing. H." Allegation #1: That Inspector H. L. Edwards attended Attorneys General conference at Phoenix, Arizona; was found drunk in hotel room, was unable to deliver a speech; later same night was stopped for driving on wrong side of road and running through

stop signs; and that ticket was fixed on instructions of high FBI officials in Washington. Facts: Almost identical allegations received in previous anonymous letter to Director dated 5-15-65 postmarked El Paso, Texas. My inquiry in Phoenix, as contained in my memo 5-24-65, reflected allegations were distorted exaggerations; indications were that Edwards delivered scheduled speech; was awakened next morning in hotel room, but no evidence he was drunk; received ticket for unlawful speed which was not "fixed" but is still on file in Phoenix Police Department; plea of guilty was entered by former SAC Edward Boyle and judge suspended sentence. Edwards was censured for failure to report traffic ticket. Allegation #2: Writer of present letter states above matter was recently brought to Director's attention by one who attended the conference and a top aide was sent to Phoenix where a whitewash was apparently administered as decision reportedly reached that Edwards was innocent and no administrative action was taken against him, certainly none approaching that rigidly required by FBI rules. Facts: This obviously refers to my inquiry. No "whitewash" was administered. I obtained signed statements from Bureau personnel, verified movements through #3 Cards, personally examined police records, interviewed hotel manager, police officers, judge, and others having knowledge of incident and presented full facts to Director, who approved censure for Edwards. Allegation #3: Edwards' conduct was covered for and not disclosed to Director at time it happened by Assistant Director James Gale, you (Mr. Mohr), and later by and possibly SAC at Phoenix, who reported has just been promoted for his success in whitewashing ENCLUSURE 2. 167- 798- 3/50

WST:jmr (2)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

(8)

Doc2d: 3219658 /Page 125 1965

AV JUL 27 1965

CONTINUED - OVER

Search

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note), DATE: 11-14-2017

Memo to Mr. Mohr from W. S. Tavel Re: Anonymous Letter dated 6-10-65 to President Johnson, etc.

Edwards' conduct. Facts: Gale denies knowing of incident when it happened and no whitewash resulted. SAC William Soyars has been transferred from Phoenix to Cincinnati since my inquiry, with Director's approval, but his transfer had no relation to my inquiry. Allegation #4: That several years ago you (Mr. Mohr) were involved in drinking incident at Toots Shor's in New York but were covered for by associates, several of whom suffered severe administrative action including transfers. One "took the rap" for you, was broken from his high position, but is now reinstated in charge of large eastern office as reward for "taking rap" for you. Facts: On night of 5-8-57 SAC MacLennan, Pittsburgh Office, then ASAC at New York, had dinner with you and former SA T. F. Ring and non-Bureau personnel, and about 10:30 P.M. left the others and was stopped while driving personally owned car alone shortly thereafter going wrong way on one-way street and did not have auto registration with him. No charge was placed and officer said MacLennan was not under influence of alcohol. MacLennan did not immediately report incident and was censured, placed on probation, removed as ASAC, demoted to GS-13, and ordered under transfer to Kansas City but transfer was later canceled, and SA Joseph M. Zimmerman, Night Supervisor who knew of incident and did not report it, was censured and removed as Supervisor and Inspector's Aide. MacLennan has held several positions, including assistant to Mr. Belmont, after leaving New York and prior to his present assignment. Allegation #5: That you (Mr. Mohr) have also been involved in drunken escapades in other places, including Miami where you allegedly were arrested at one time, Chicago, Los Angeles, and Washington, D.C. Facts: You have denied this whole allegation categorically and have stated that you have never been arrested in Miami or elsewhere. Allegation #6: Your brother, Paul J. Mohr, reportedly had upwards of \$1,000 in bad checks out against him when he applied for Special Agent position and investigation had to be held up 3 times befor you were able to cover this blemish. Facts: Paul Mohr applied as SA 9-8-51 and entered on duty 10-29-51, reflecting no delay in investigation. He listed \$557 of outstanding debts, including \$300 loan from his brother, Chester, and \$160 to General Services Administration Credit Union where his credit was satisfactory. Credit agencies and police records checked reflected no credit difficulties or bad checks outstanding and he was earning \$3100 per annum. Paul denies allegation, states is outright lie, and that he never had any bad checks and didn't even have a checking account prior to his entering Bureau. Allegation #7: You (Mr. Mohr) are reportedly brother-in-law of Sam Astoli, alias "Kingston Sam," notorious east coast bookie and hoodlum operating out of Kingston, New York. Facts: You have advised that the mother of Samuel Astolas, Jr., is a distant relative of your mother's and you have had no significant contact with him. Bureau files reflect he was known as "Kingston Sam," is a professional handicapper, and had associated with bookies and gamblers in Kingston, New York; Cincinnati; and Newport, Kentucky, according to reports in 1953, 1960 and 1961.

Writer also criticizes Bureau's weight program and what he terms the Director's "destructive personnel policy." He suggests the President may wish to have John W. Macy, Jr., Chairman of Civil Service Commission, review recent instances of

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note). DATE: 11-14-2017

Dalles, Towns

JAMUERING.

Mr. Lyndon D. Johnson President of the United States The Unite House Weshington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Johnson

attention a perions matter effecting our national security and levelving the EDI. Some monito ago one of
EDI process J. Edgar Hoover's top aldes, Inspector
H. Lynn Edwards, was ment by Hoover to represent him
personally as a featured speaker in Phoenix, Arizota
before a Dintrict Attorneys' convention attended by
prominent poodle from manazous southwestern states.
When these also for Edwards to speak, he could not be
found. Include by the head of the EDI Office there
found. Include was frunk in his hotel room and the
manager than his man dead. He was revived; herever,
manager than his man dead. He was revived; herever,
of those who knew what transpired.

Wards the same might got drunk namin and was driving the car in Phoenia then stopped by a police officer for the car in Phoenia then stopped by a police officer for the car in Phoenia then stopped by a police officer for the car in the wrong side of the read and running through stop signs. On instructions by high Edf of through stop signs. On instructions by high Edf of through stop signs. On instructions by high Edf of the care flowed in Vachington, the ticket was fixed to the care flowed of the Phoenia Folice Department, and Industria noyance of the Phoenia Folice Department, and Industria noyance allowed to return to Sachington without prosecution,

\ Page Two.

Finally, when it become painfully clear that nothing would ever be done about this matter, it was recently brought to the attention of MI Director Hoover and Attorney General Katzenbach by one who attended the conference and knew of the disgraceful misconduct of Edwards. Usually a situation of this type results in the imediate dismissel of any government official under the terms of President Mischhower's Executive Order 10450, issued April 27, 1955, prescribing rigid socurity requirements for government employment. The over-all oriterion was that any person whose employment was not clearly consistent with the national interest should be barred from federal service. term "socurity risk" was redefined to include heavy drinkers, loose talkers, sex perverte, persons judged unrollable, untrustworthy or immoral, and persons previously convicted of felenies or misdemeanors.

In addition, BH rules of conduct are most stringent in matters of such flagrant misbehavior and demand the immediate dismissal of any employee found to have been engaged in such conduct. It appears the rules were waived in Edwards' case for some unknown reasons.

It is understood that as soon as Hoover recalled the recent communication telling of Edwards' doct. Lictions, he promptly dispatched another top aide to Loonix to look into the situation. A whitewash appropriately was most skillfully administered, as the decision reportedly was reached that Edwards was innocent of the serious allegations commonly known to desens of people to be true, and no administrative action was later against Edwards, certainly none approaching that rigidly required by MM rules of conduct or Executive Order 10450.

It would take the committence of a number of high MDI officials to cover this situation so skillfully

Released under the John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).: DATE: 11-14-2017

Page Inres.

for Edwards, who is known for many years by many fellow employees to be an extremely heavy drinker if not
an alcoholic. Diwards' conduct was undoubtedly covered
for and not disclosed to Heover at the time it happened by his immediate superior, James N. Gale, an Assed by his immediate superior, James N. Gale, an Assistant director; by John P. Mohr, an Assistant to the
Director; and later by the high ranking official who
has just completed an inquiry into the matter; and
possibly the igent in Charge of the Phoenix Office who
reportedly has just been promoted for his outstanding
success in helping to administer a cost of whitewash
to Edwards' most reprehensible conduct.

John P. Mohr, incidentally is one of the Big Five in the Di hierarchy and is a well-known heavy drinker, probably an alcoholic. Several years ago he was involved in a drunken scene in Toots Shor's har in New York City but was covered for by his assoctates, several of whom suffered severe administrative action including transfers. One took the rap especially for Mohr, was broken from his high position but is now reinstated in charge of one of our large eastern has officed in payment for services rendered in taking the rap for Mohr. That official also has a long history of heavy drinking, well known to his headquarters.

Mohr has also been involved in drunken oscupades in other places including litemi, where he allegedly was arrested at one time. Chicago, Los Angeles and Veshington, D.C., and his associates have been herd pressed to keep him from being arrested at other times as a common drunk. Mohr also has a brother who is an FSI official and who reportedly had upwards of Ol,000 in bad checks out against him when he applied for the position of Special Agent in the Bi several years ago. Investigation had to be held up three times before Tohr was able to cover this terrible blemich against his brother's record, one that would quickly climinate any other agent applicant. His brother then easily got the job which ordinarily calls for fidelity, bravery, integrity end cheracter. Mohr also reportedly is the brother-in-law of Sam Astoli, alias *Kingston Sam, " notorious east coast bookie and hoodlum operating out of Hingston, New York, but this demaging essociation has never interfered with his dazzling ascent to the

Page Four.

ENI's administrative heights and rumored to be the next MI Director.

Mohr and Edverds, close friends, also have been emong the prime pushers of Moover's weight program resulting in dozens of agents being run out of the mil or to suffer many transfers or loss of income because of their inability to meet the Ell's rigid and unrealistic weight limits which Echr has never bothered to make and it is doubtful if Noover and Adwards on a make. This has not stopped them from inflicting untold hardships on hundreds of incoment members of Ell Agents' families and in actually undermining the health and well-being of many agent personnel.

This letter is being written to you. Mr. President, because you are the one loyal, upstending, powerful American who can correct this situation. No Attorney General is the past has ever questioned mover's districtive personnel policy or questioned his cover-nys for intimate aides who have flouted the rules of desent conduct. Other president DM officials have reached retirement age although elcoholics and the Moverds situation isn't particularly important except for the following reasons:

- 1. He should be fired as a security risk just as surely as you were forced to fire Walter Jon-kins, because of Edwards' extremely sensitive responsibilities involving our mational security.
- 2. He not only was in violation of local laws but was in violation of one of the EDI'S strictent rules against drinking on the job. and in this instance at great embarasment to the EDI in Phoenix and in the entire southwest among a most important group of law enforcement representatives.
- s. This situation points up the bad possibility that Hoover is not being told the truth by his top aides. I feel sure he would have fired Mawards at the time of the incident or recently if he had been told the whole truth about the matter.

Page Bive.

For these reasons and before this situation explodes into a boobshell most embarassing for your administration, hundreds of AMI employees who know of this shandful cover-up of Edwards' misconduct which is embarasing to us all, will veloome your personal inquiry into the matter. You might like to have Er. John To Macy, Ir., Chairman of the United States Civil Service Commission, look into this matter and make a survey of the last several hundred instances of administrative action, taken by Hoover against his personnel. Such a review with unbiased approach will reveal unbelievable action taken, involving many needless transfers at great cost to the taxpayers, firings, demotions, forced retirements, etc.

grong the most disgraceful to be found within the past year or so will be Moover's reprehensible action taken against twelve to fifteen loyal employees whom he decided to blome, at the recommendation of Assistant Director Gale, for getion contributing to the assessinution of the lete President Kennedy, thereby hoping to relieve himself of the orlicism of the Warrent Commission. Several in our Dallas office were dealt with a everely. One of the most hearth leaking cases involved Agent Jomes Hosty, young felter of eight children, one suffering from cerebral policy, his wife pregnant, who was auspended without pay for a month and transferred to one of the MI's most dreaded disciplinary offices. This action reportedly followed disciplinary action already taken against this agant regarding the same matter.

Hany of us think the time has come for a quiet look at the Edwards case, the factors involved, as well as the over-all ruinous personnel policy of the EDI before it becomes necessary for a congressional committee or the news media to undertake the task at great embarasement to your outstanding coministration. You are the one man in America who can connect this mittation is our great organization which we think is contributing much to the mational security and which can ill afford even one of the Edwards type in its midst.

Sincerely yours,

A loyal, concerned Dallas IDI employee

Copy to: Mr. Micholas Natzenbach, Mr. John V. Macy, Jr. and Mr. J. Edgar Moover